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Abstract

Context information is critical for image semantic segmentation. Especially in indoor scenes, the large variation of object scales makes spatial-context an important factor for improving the segmentation performance. Thus, in this paper, we propose a novel variational context-deformable (VCD) module to learn adaptive receptive-field in a structured fashion. Different from standard ConvNets, which share fixed-size spatial context for all pixels, the VCD module learns a deformable spatial-context with the guidance of depth information: depth information provides clues for identifying real local neighborhoods. Specifically, adaptive Gaussian kernels are learned with the guidance of multi-modal information. By multiplying the learned Gaussian kernel with standard convolution filters, the VCD module can aggregate flexible spatial context for each pixel during convolution. The main contributions of this work are as follows: 1) a novel VCD module is proposed, which exploits learnable Gaussian kernels to enable feature learning with structured adaptive-context; 2) variational Bayesian probabilistic modeling is introduced for the training of VCD module, which can make it continuous and more stable; 3) a perspective-aware guidance module is designed to take advantage of multi-modal information for RGB-D segmentation. We evaluate the proposed approach on three widely-used datasets, and the performance improvement has shown the effectiveness of the proposed method.

1. Introduction

Pixel-wise semantic image analysis, e.g., semantic segmentation, is a fundamental computer vision task with numerous applications, such as robot sensing and autonomous driving. Compared with image classification, semantic segmentation needs to distinguish the category of each pixel under complicated backgrounds. As semantic segmentation is to understand the image at pixel-level, high semantic-level features are critical for the pixel-wise classification task. Thus, deep Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have significantly boosted the performance of semantic segmentation. Fully Convolutional Networks (FCNs) [39] based methods and Encoder-Decoder architecture based methods achieve remarkable results on several public benchmarks. Although the segmentation performance can be improved significantly by employing deep features, there are still challenges for indoor scene semantic segmentation: 1) more complex spatial layout and cluttered objects make the indoor scene segmentation challenging; 2) fixed receptive-field may cause feature-inconsistency between different objects; 3) using 2D image alone for image understanding may cause geometric confusions. Fortunately, depth sensor can provide geometric information to aid the pixel-wise understanding of indoor scenes.

Commercial RGB-D sensors such as Microsoft Kinect [53] become more and more popular. Adapting conventional 2D image analysis tasks such as object detection [42], semantic segmentation to RGB-D image becomes feasible. Since RGB-D image can provide illumination-insensitive geometric cues, extensive methods [15], [40], [35], and [27] have shown that enhanced results can be obtained by incorporating the information from depth modality. Depth information is useful for describing the 3D scene layout,
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1. The receptive-field can be learned and adjusted in a more compact and structured way, which can be easily optimized. Moreover, controlling the receptive-field with learnable Gaussian mask is more interpretable.

2. Modeling the variance for generating Gaussian mask as latent random variables can make the training of VCD module more stable and enforce the learned receptive-field to be continuous. By stacking multiple VCD layers, the final receptive-field for each pixel can be continuously adjusted.

3. Multi-modal information provides important cues for generating the scale-guidance map, which is an effective and flexible way for exploiting the strong depth prior information.

4. The proposed VCD module can be readily plugged in any deep architectures to replace standard convolution-
grained semantic segmentation. Deformable convolution networks (DCN) \cite{8} was introduced to augment convolution with learnable offsets. Deformable ConvNets v2 \cite{56} reformulated DCN with mask weights, which alleviated the influence of irrelevant image content. OCNet \cite{51} was proposed to exploit pixel features that belonged to the same object category as the object context for semantic segmentation. Semantic-correlation context \cite{10} shared a similar idea to \cite{51}, which employed paired convolution to infer the semantic-correlated shape-context for segmentation. Non-local network based methods \cite{57,20,12} aimed to aggregate features of all positions with a learned weight, which also exploited dynamic context features.

### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Context-deformable Convolution

The standard convolution operation shares a fixed receptive-field for each pixel across the whole image. Aggregating context information with regular sliding window has an obvious limitation: the representation for objects with different scales will be inconsistent. This makes standard convolution less effective for handling the scale-variant problem. First of all, we will introduce the widely-used standard convolution. Given the input feature map $X \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times h \times w}$ and kernel weight $W \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times c \times k \times k}$, the standard convolution can be formulated as:

$$v_i = \sum_{p_j \in \Omega(i)} W[p_j - p_i] \cdot x[p_j], \quad (1)$$

where $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^c$ is the output features at pixel $i$, and $[p_j - p_i]$ denotes indexes of the 2D spatial offsets. For pixel $i$, $k \times k$ surrounding pixels (denoted by $p_j \in \Omega(i)$) are aggregated with weights $W$. Thus, a fixed local context with size $k \times k$ is used for every pixel, which limits the flexibility of convolution operation and makes it hard to handle feature-inconsistency problem between large and small objects.

Although several works such as pixel-adaptive convolution \cite{43} and Shape-Variant Context methods \cite{10} have been proposed for variant context modeling, these methods involve in computing the pixel-wise feature-similarity, which will increase the computation cost significantly when using them at multiple layers. Different from them, in this work, a structured context-deformable convolution is proposed, which augments vanilla convolution with Gaussian kernel mask to control the effective receptive-field softly. The proposed context-deformable convolution can be formulated as:

$$v_i = \sum_{p_j \in \Omega(i)} GK(p_i, p_j; g^s_i) \cdot x[p_j], \quad (2)$$

where $GK(p_i, p_j; g^s_i)$ is a learnable spatial Gaussian kernel with parameter $g^s_i$ as standard deviation at pixel $i$. The Gaussian distribution function $GK(p_i, p_j; g^s_i)$ can be defined as follows:

$$GK(p_i, p_j; g^s_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi g^s_i}} \exp\left(-\frac{(p_j - p_i)^2}{2 g^s_i^2}\right), \quad (3)$$

where $g^s_i$ is the $i^{th}$ element of the scale-guidance map to control the scale of the spatial Gaussian kernel at pixel $i$. Specifically, for one convolution layer, $h \times w$ position-wise parameters is predicted densely, i.e., the scale-guidance map $g^s$, which can be used to adjust the spatial context of each pixel. We expect that for a large context, the learned $g^s_i$ should be large to generate flat weights equivalent to standard convolution. While for a small context, the Gaussian kernel weights are expected to be uneven and concentrate on pixel $i$. Take, for example, a convolution layer with $1 \times 3$ kernel size: the learned Gaussian kernel weights for a large context may be $[0.99, 1.0, 0.99]$. For small context, the learned Gaussian weights may be $[0.2, 1.0, 0.2]$. In this way, the receptive-field for each pixel can be adjusted adaptively.

To disentangle the spatial context into scale deformation (VCD) and shape (DCN) deformation, we integrate the proposed VCD module with DCN \cite{8}. Since DCN adjusts the spatial context by predicting $K$ offsets, it is more suitable to learn the shape-deformation. VCD adjusts the scale context in a more compact and structured way, which provides constraints on the offset learning in DCN. This can be defined as:

$$v_i = \sum_{p_j \in \Omega(i)} GK(p_i, p_j) W[p_j - p_i] \cdot x[p_j + \Delta p_j], \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta p_j$ is the learned 2D offsets, and $x(\cdot)$ is the dif-
3.2. Variational Inference on Gaussian Variance

To adjust the receptive-field continuously, modeling the aforementioned context-deformable convolution in a Bayesian probabilistic framework is an effective way. Specifically, we model the parameter $g_{\sigma}$ as a latent random variable, which enforces the module to learn distributions rather than deterministic values. The introduced regularization term can also enhance the correlation between spatial-context and segmentation performance. Thus, by modeling the parameter $g_{\sigma}$ in a Bayesian probabilistic framework, the proposed method will be more stable, continuous and allowing interpolation.

Suppose the segmentation dataset with $N$ samples is: $D = \{x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$, where $x^{(i)}$ and $y^{(i)}$ are the image and label respectively. Instead of using deterministic values, we aim to sample $g_{\sigma}$ from a posterior distribution $p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma}|x)$ to generate the spatial Gaussian kernel using $GK$. However, the estimation of the true posterior density $p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma}|x)$ is intractable due to the computation-intractable integral. Thus, the widely-used variational inference [23] is employed to approximate $p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma}|x)$ with a distribution $q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma})$. As pointed by [24], maximizing the likelihoods of datapoints is equivalent to maximizing the variational evidence lower bound (ELBO) $\mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi; x^{(i)})$, which can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi; x^{(i)}) = E_{q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma}|x^{(i)})}[\log p_{\theta}(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}, g_{\sigma})] - KL(q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma}|x^{(i)})||p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma})), \quad (5)$$

where the first expected log-likelihood term is to minimize the segmentation loss. It can also be called prediction loss. The second Kullback-Leibler divergence term is used to minimize the distance of two distributions, i.e., $q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma})$ and the true posterior $p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma}|x)$. This variational evidence lower bound can also be interpreted as minimizing the segmentation prediction loss, and simultaneously enforcing the variable $g_{\sigma}$ to obey a defined distribution.

To optimize the segmentation loss term with the gradient descent method, we need to compute the gradient of it w.r.t. the parameter $\phi$. In this work, Gaussian distribution is chosen as the approximate distribution: $q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Thus, the learnable parameter $\phi$ of the approximate distribution are $\mu$ and $\sigma$, which are predicted by two CNN layers in this work. Since the gradient cannot be computed directly, the reparameterization trick is employed to sample $g_{\sigma} \sim q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma}|x^{(i)})$ alternatively: $g_{\sigma} = \mu + \sigma \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is the standard normal distribution, namely, $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. By using the reparameterization trick, the ELBO can be rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi; x^{(i)}) = \frac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left( \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \log p_{\theta}(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}, \mu + \sigma \varepsilon^l) - KL(q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma}|x^{(i)})||p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma})) \right), \quad (6)$$

where $M$ is the number of mini-batch samples randomly drawn from $N$ datapoints. $L$ is the number of samples for one datapoint (image and label pair). In this way, the expected prediction loss term can be optimized with SGD optimizer.

For the prior distribution, the commonly used Gaussian distribution is chosen in this work, which can be defined as:

$$p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu^*, I), \quad (7)$$

where $\mu^*$ is set identical to $\mu$ in $q_{\phi}$. Since we aim to model $g_{\sigma}$ as a random variable to make the context-deformable convolution more stable and allow interpolation, the variance of $\varepsilon$ is set to 1. As depicted above, we choose Gaussian distribution as the approximate distribution. Thus, the KL divergence loss can be formulated analytically as:

$$KL(q_{\phi}(g_{\sigma}|x^{(i)})||p_{\theta}(g_{\sigma})) = \sum \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sigma^2 - 1 \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

With Eq. 6 and 8, the proposed VCD module can be trained in an end-to-end manner. The illustration of the VCD module is shown in Fig. 3. For each VCD layer, $g_{\sigma}$ is first sampled from the learned distribution $q_{\phi}$. Then it will be used to generate Gaussian kernel weights using $GK(p_1, p_2; g_{\sigma})$. Finally, the Gaussian weights are multiplied with convolution weights in an element-wise manner for each pixel.
3.3. Multi-modal Guided Scale-Adaptive Network

In this section, we mainly introduce the design of the probabilistic encoder $q_\phi(g_\sigma|x)$. Since convolutional neural networks are effective for pixel-wise feature learning, a CNN-based probabilistic encoder is introduced in this work. Although depth information has great correlation with the object-scale, merely using depth modality lacks the appearance information of objects. RGB modality can provide rich image content cues, which are also important for predicting the scale-guidance map $g_\sigma$ densely. Considering this, multi-modal CNN features are exploited for learning the scale-guidance map in RGB-D semantic segmentation task.

As shown in Fig. 3, for one VCD layer, two extra convolutions are employed to output the distribution parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$, which can be defined as:

$$\log \mu = \text{conv}_\mu (F_{rgb} + F_d),$$
$$\sigma = \text{conv}_\sigma (F_{rgb} + F_d),$$

where $\text{conv}_\mu$ and $\text{conv}_\sigma$ are two convolutional layers with kernel size $3 \times 3$. $F_{rgb}$ and $F_d$ are the intermediate deep features of RGB and depth modality, respectively. The exponential function is used to guarantee that all the values in scale-guidance map $g_\sigma$ are positive. We illustrate the VCD module based RGB-D segmentation framework in Fig. 4. Multiple VCD modules are stacked to form a deep network. The scale-guidance map for each module is predicted using the deep multi-modal features. Then RGB and depth modality share the same scale-guidance map for learning scale-deformable features.

Additionally, VCD-module can also be applied to RGB semantic segmentation. In this case, only RGB features are used for predicting the scale-guidance map. In practice, we choose to replace the standard convolution with VCD module at relatively deeper layers from two reasons: one is that deeper CNN features are with higher semantic-level, so they can be used to predict more accurate scale-guidance map based on the image content and depth information. The other reason is that convolution at deeper layers can affect larger receptive-field.

As semantic segmentation task needs high computation cost, a large $L$ will make the whole network slow to converge. In this case, we use a two-stage optimization method for training the VCD module. At the first stage, all the parameters $g_\sigma$ in scale-guidance map are set as deterministic values. Therefore, the $KL$ divergence loss is not optimized, and $L$ is 1 in this case. When the model converges, reasonable scale-guidance maps can be obtained. Then we treat the learned deterministic scale maps as the $\mu$ of distribution $q_\phi(g_\sigma)$ for the second stage training. At the second stage, the whole model can be optimized with Eq. (6) for training.

4. Experiments

To evaluate the proposed method comprehensively, experiments on two widely used public RGB-D semantic segmentation dataset: NYUv2 \cite{Silberman2012} and SUN RGB-D \cite{修改}. In addition to RGB-D indoor segmentation, we also evaluate VCD module on Cityscapes street scene dataset \cite{Cordts2016}.

4.1. Datasets

As for RGB-D datasets, there are 1,449 RGB-D indoor image pairs with finely annotated segmentation labels in NYUv2 dataset. To fairly compare with previous methods, 795 images are split for training, and 654 images for testing. Following the experiment setting in \cite{修改}, we use segmentation labels with 40 classes for all the experiments.

SUN RGB-D dataset is a large scale RGB-D dataset with 10,335 images. All of the images are annotated with 37 categories. Following the previous works, 5,285 RGB-D image pairs are split as training images, and the rest 5,050 images are used for testing.

Cityscapes benchmark is designed for urban segmentation. 5,000 high-resolution images ($1024 \times 2048$) are finely annotated into 19 categories. Moreover, an extra 20,000 coarsely annotated images are also offered. All these images are captured from 50 different cities. In this work, only the finely annotated images are used, including 2,975 images for training and 500 images for validation. The rest 1,525 images without labels are used for testing on the benchmark server. To evaluate the performance of semantic
Table 1. Performance Comparisons on Sun RGB-D Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>mAcc (%)</th>
<th>mIoU (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lstm-C[19]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FusedNet[17]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al.(VGG-16)[18]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.(VGG-16)[14]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth-ave-avg[12]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context[13]</td>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFN/VGG-16[10]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RefineNet-Res(101)[11]</td>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF-152[25]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RedNet[21]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNet[19]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCD+RedNet</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>VCD+ACNet</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Performance Comparisons on NYUv2 Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>mAcc (%)</th>
<th>mIoU (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eigen et al.[11]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi et al.[15]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al.(VGG-16)[14]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-CNN+HHA[16]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNN[10]</td>
<td>RGB</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel Attention(ResNet-50)[25]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFN(RefineNet-152)</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNet[19]</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCD+Deeplab(VGG16)</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCD+RedNet(ResNet-50)</td>
<td>RGB-D</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>VCD+ACNet</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

segmentation, mean pixel accuracy and mean intersection-over-union (mIoU) are adopted as the evaluation metrics.

4.2. Parameters Setup and Implementation Details

Since the proposed module can be plugged into existing deep networks readily, we choose to replace the convolution layers of existing networks with VCD module to show the effectiveness of our method. For RGB-D semantic segmentation, RedNet [21] and ACNet [19] are adopted as the baseline methods. As for the Cityscapes dataset, an excellent work HRNet [44] is employed as the baseline.

All the experiments are conducted on 4 NVIDIA GeForce Titan X Pascal GPU cards, and the batch size is set to 480 × 640. Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) optimizer is employed for all the experiments. The initial learning rate is set to 2e-3 for RGB-D dataset, while lr is set to 1e-2 for Cityscapes dataset. The learning rates for conv$_{ps}$ and conv$_{ps}$ of VCD module are set to 10×lr. For RGB-D datasets, 300 epochs are used for training the model, including 270 epochs for the first-stage training, and the last 30 epochs for the second stage. 484 epochs are used for the first training stage on Cityscapes dataset. 80 extra epochs are used for the second stage training. $L$ in Eq. [5] is set to 5 in all the experiments.

4.3. Performance on SUN RGB-D Dataset

The comparison with several state-of-the-art methods is reported in Table[1]. It can be seen that the performance of the proposed methods VCD+RedNet and VCD+ACNet outperform the compared state-of-the-art methods. Note that, no post-processing like CRF is used in all of our experiments. We simply replace the CNN layers with the VCD module in the last two stages of ResNet. By using the VCD module, VCD+RedNet can improve the mIoU from 47.8% to 50.3%. When choosing ACNet as our baseline, the performance can also be boosted significantly with the VCD module. As presented in Table[1] VCD+ACNet can achieve 51.2%, which largely improves the performance of the baseline method. These results demonstrate that learning adaptive receptive-field is useful for improving segmentation performance and the proposed method is effective for RGB-D scene semantic segmentation.

4.4. Performance on NYUv2 Dataset

Table[2] displays the performance comparison on NYUv2 dataset. It can be seen that the proposed method obtains superior performance over other methods. The comparison results indicate that the proposed VCD module is an effective way for better exploiting the depth cues. By plugging the VCD module to the RedNet baseline, the proposed method can achieve a large performance improvement (3.5% mIoU). We attribute this gain to the enhanced deep features learned with adaptive-spatial context, which can maintain more image details and focus on small clutter objects.

The improvement on both ACNet and RedNet baseline indicates that the proposed method is orthonormal to the choice of the deep network architecture. By replacing standard CNN layer with VCD module, the performance can be boosted consistently. Depth-aware and Pixel-wise attention are the most related works to ours. To compare with Depth-aware CNN, i.e., D-CNN+HHA, we conduct experiments on Deeplab[2] baseline with VGG-16 backbone. The proposed VCD+Deeplab can achieve better performance than its counterpart D-CNN+HHA.

To get a more comprehensive understanding of the VCD module, the learned scale-guidance maps are visualize in Fig. [6]. It can be seen that the learned receptive-fields are different for flat area like wall and objects like toilet, which is in accordance with our intuition. Moreover, the scale-guidance maps learned with multi-modality are also shown in Fig. [6]. Compared with maps learned with only RGB modality, multi-modality guided scale-guidance maps are more reasonable.

4.5. Ablation Study

For a more comprehensive evaluation, we conduct experiments to study the effect of context-deformable convo-
Table 3. Ablation Study Results on NYUv2 Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>wall</th>
<th>floor</th>
<th>cabinet</th>
<th>bed</th>
<th>chair</th>
<th>books</th>
<th>picture</th>
<th>corridor</th>
<th>blinds</th>
<th>desk</th>
<th>shelves</th>
<th>curtain</th>
<th>dresser</th>
<th>nightstand</th>
<th>toilet</th>
<th>sink</th>
<th>lamp</th>
<th>shelf</th>
<th>bag</th>
<th>photo</th>
<th>mIoU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DeeplabV3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCN [8]</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCNV2 [56]</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCD</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCD+DCN</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCD††</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Visualization of segmentation results on NYUv2 dataset. For each row, the images are 1) the input; 2) the result of DCNv2; 3) the result of the proposed method; 4) the ground truth.

Figure 6. Visualization of the last scale-guidance map on NYUv2 dataset. We show the map with RGB modality and with multi-modal modality. The left image triplets are results using only the RGB modality. The right ones are the scale-guidance map using multi-modality information. (Best viewed in color.)

4.6. Performance on Cityscapes Dataset

Since the proposed VCD module can also be applied to the RGB image based semantic segmentation task, we also study the effect of it on street scene RGB segmentation task. In this part, experiments are conducted on a widely-used segmentation benchmark: Cityscapes. We choose HR-Net [44] as our baseline, and replace the last four branches of stage 4 with VCD module. Our method has been evaluated on the cityscapes benchmark, the detailed results can be seen at this link:

https://www.cityscapes-dataset.com/method-details/?submissionID=5713

†† indicates that it is useful to model the scale-guidance map in a Bayesian probabilistic framework. Note that only RGB images are used in the experiments presented in Table 3. Some qualitative results are shown in Fig. 5.
Figure 7. Comparison results on Cityscapes test set. Input images are in the first row. The qualitative results of baseline and the proposed method are displayed in the 2nd and the 3rd row respectively.

Figure 8. Visualization of the last scale-guidance map on Cityscapes. It is obvious that the learned scale-guidance map is reasonable.

Table 4. Performance Comparisons on Sun Cityscapes test Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Validation</th>
<th>Coarse</th>
<th>Backbone</th>
<th>mIoU(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSPNet[54]</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSANet[55]</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale-adaptive[52]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAF[23]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiSeNet[27]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSANet[55]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFN[50]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSPNet[54]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DenseASPP[59]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>DenseNet-161</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRNet[44]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>HRNetV2-W48</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCR[51]</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>ResNet-101</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours(VCD)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>HRNetV2-W48</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a variational context-deformable (VCD) module is proposed to learn adaptive spatial-context in a structured manner. The VCD module learns a deformable spatial-context with the guidance of RGB and Depth modality information. Specifically, adaptive Gaussian kernels are learned with the variational technique and the guidance of multi-modal information. By multiplying the learned Gaussian kernel with fixed receptive-size, the VCD module can aggregate flexible spatial context for each pixel during convolution. Experiments have demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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